	1	
1 2 3 4	STUART HANLON, SBN: 66104 SARA RIEF, SBN: 227279 LAW OFFICES OF HANLON & RIEF 179 11 TH Street, 2 nd Floor San Francisco, California 94103 (415) 864-5600	
5	Attorney for Defendant SYNPEP CORPORATION AND CHI YANG	
6	CIII TANO	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	OAKLAND DIVISION	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	No. CR 06-0374 SBA
11	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR
12	v.)	CONTINUANCE OF TRIAL DATE
13		
14	SYNPEP CORPORATION AND (CHI YANG,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17		
18	The defendants through counsel Stuart Hanlon, and the government through Assistant	
19	U.S. Attorneys Christina Hua and Tracie Brown hereby stipulate as follows:	
20	Defense counsel has filed a Motion to Continue the presently set trial date of October 5,	
21	2009 in this matter. The hearing on said motion was to be heard today, September 8, 2009. The	
22	government filed a Non-Opposition Reply to Defendant's Motion to Continue the trial date. It is	
23	hereby requested that the Court grant the continuance motion for the reasons stated in the	
24	Declaration of Counsel filed in support of Defendant's Motion to Continue the trial date In	
25	particular, both sides requested that the Continuance Motion be granted for continuity of counse	
26	and so that counsel has adequate time to prepare. Further, both sides request that the presently	
27 28	Synpep & Chi Yang: Stipulation & Proposed Order Re Continuance of Pretrial & Trial Dates	

set trial date of October 5, 2009 and all pretrial dates be vacated. The parties hereby request that this matter be scheduled for September 22, 2009 at 9:00 a.m. for status and possible change of plea and/or trial setting.

Further, in light of the complexity of the case, the amount of discovery remaining to be reviewed by the defense and the additional reasons as set forth in defendant's motion to continue, the parties agree to exclude time from the speedy trial act calculations from September 8, 2009 to September 22, 2009. Failure to grant the request for continuance and exclusion of time will unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence and a need for counsel to prepare for trial and will deny the defendant continuity of counsel, 18 U.S.C. Section 3161(h)(7)(A)(b)(iv).

11

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1213

14

15

Dated: September 8, 2009 s/Stuart Hanlon, CSBN: 66104

Attorney for Defendant

SYNPEP CORPORATION and CHI YANG

179 11th Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94103 415/864-5600

stuart@stuarthanlonlaw.com

17

18

19

16

Dated: September 8, 2009

s/Christina Hua s/Tracie Brown

Assistant U.S. Attorney
Office of The U.S. Attorney
450 Golden Gate Avenue, 11th Floor

20

San Francisco, CA 94102

Good cause having been shown and by Stipulation of the parties herein, the Court grants

ORDER

Defendant's Motion to Continue the presently set trial date of October 5, 2009. The Court

grants this Motion to Continue the trial date based on the above Stipulation, the Declaration of

Counsel in support of Defendant's Motion to Continue the trial date, and in particular, the need

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Synpep & Chi Yang: Stipulation & Proposed Order Re Continuance of Pretrial & Trial Dates

2

for defense counsel to have time to adequately prepare for trial as well as the continuity of counsel.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the October 5, 2009 trial date and all previously-set dates relating to pretrial papers are hereby vacated. Further, the Court sets this matter for all parties to appear on September 22, 2009 at 9:00 a.m. for status and/or possible change of plea.

Further, pursuant to Stipulation and good cause appearing, it is ordered that, with the consent of the Defendant, and all counsel, the period from September 8, 2009 to September 22, 2009 is excluded from Speedy Trial Act calculations under 18 U.S. C. Section 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv), as the ends of justice served by excluding that time outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and the prompt disposition of criminal cases.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 9/8/09

HON. JUDGE SAUNDRA B. ARMSTRONG

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Synpep & Chi Yang: Stipulation & Proposed Order Re Continuance of Pretrial & Trial Dates